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#### Abstract

The concept of Restrained triple connected graph was introduced by G.Mahadevan in [3]. A set $D \subseteq V(L(G))$ is a Restrained Triple Connected Dominating (RTCD) set of $L(G)$, if every vertex not in $D$ is adjacent to a vertex in $D$ and to a vertex in $V$ $D$ and $\langle D\rangle$ is triple connected. The RTCD number of a line graph $L(G)$ is denoted by $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G))$ is the minimum cardinality of a RTCD set of $L(G)$. In this paper, we discuss the graph theoretic properties of $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G))$ and its exact values for some standard graphs were obtained. The relationship with other parameter is also investigated. We characterize graphs which do not have $\gamma_{r t c}$ set. We obtained the upper and lower bounds of $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G))$. We also proved the Nordhaus-Gaddum types of results of this parameter.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

By a graph we mean a finite, simple, connected and undirected graph $G(V, E)$. A subset $S$ of $V$ of a nontrivial graph $G$ is called a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex in $V-S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$. The domination number $\chi(G)$ of $G$ is the minimum cardinality taken over all dominating sets in $G$. A graph $G$ is said to be triple connected if any three vertices lie on a path in $G$. A dominating set is said to be restrained dominating set if every vertex in $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{S}$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$ as well as another vertex in $V$ - S. The minimum cardinality taken over all restrained dominating sets is called the restrained domination number and is denoted by $\gamma_{r .}(G)$. The restrained dominating set is said to be restrained triple connected dominating set (RTCD), if the <S> is triple connected. The minimum cordiality taken over all the restrained triple connected dominating sets is called the restrained triple connected domination number and is denoted by $\gamma_{\text {rtc }}(G)$.
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With every non empty ordinary graph there is associated a graph $L(G)$, called the line graph of $G$ whose points are in one-to-one correspondence with the lines of $G$ and such that two points are adjacent in $L(G)$ if and only if the corresponding lines of G are adjacent.

## 1) Restrained Triple connected domination number in Line Graph

Definition 2.1: The restrained dominating set is said to be restrained triple connected dominating set (RTCD), if the <S> is triple connected. The minimum cordiality taken over all the restrained triple connected dominating sets is called the restrained triple connected domination number and is denoted by $\gamma_{\text {rtc }}(G)$. With every non empty ordinary graph there is associated a graph $L(G)$, called the line graph of $G$ whose points are in one-to-one correspondence with the lines of $G$ and such that two points are adjacent in $L(G)$ if and only if the corresponding lines of $G$ are adjacent.

## Example:



Fig. 2.1: $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\boldsymbol{r t c}}\left(\boldsymbol{L}\left(\boldsymbol{K}_{4}\right)=\mathbf{3}\right.$

In this paper, we study the graph theoretic properties of $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G)$ and its exact values for some standard graphs were obtained. The relationship with other parameter is also investigated3. Exact Values of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\boldsymbol{r t c}}(\boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{G})$ for some standard graphs:

1. $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(C_{p}\right)=p-2\right.$
2. $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(\left(P_{p}\right)=q\right.\right.$
3. $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(\left(K_{p}\right)=3\right.\right.$
4. $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(\left(k_{1, p}\right)=p\right.\right.$, if $p=3,4$
5. $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(\left(C_{2,3}\right)=3\right.\right.$
6. $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(K_{1, p}\right)=3, p \geq 5\right.$

$$
\left(L\left(K_{1, p}\right)=K_{p-1}\right)
$$

7. $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(K_{1, p}\right)=p-1, \mathrm{p}=4,5\right.$
8. $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(\left(w_{1, p}\right)=3\right.\right.$

## Observations 3.1:

1. Every graph $L(G)$ without isolated vertex has a RTCD set as $\mathrm{V}(L(G) \geq 3$
2. The RTCD set contains all its pendent vertices, supports and the vertices of degree 2 . Hence $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L\left(C_{p}\right)=p-2\right.$
3. For any connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq 2$ then $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G) \leq q$
4. For any connected graph $G, \quad \gamma_{r t c}(G)=$ $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G))$ and the equality satisfied for cycles and complete graphs
5. For any connected graph G, $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G)=q$ and the equality satisfied for a path graph
6. A RTCD number of a connected graph is isomorphic to its line graph if it is a cycle that is, $G \cong(L(G)$ if and only if $G$ is a regular graph of degree 2.
7. If the line graph of a connected graph G is a tree then $\gamma_{r t c}$ does not exist.
8. For any connected graph $G$ with 3 vertices $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G))=p$ if and only if $G \cong K_{3}$

Theorem 3.2: For any connected graph $G$ with $p \geq 3$, then $3 \leq \gamma_{r t c}(L(G)) \leq q$.

Proof: The lower bound and the upper bound follow from the definition 2.1 and 2.2.

For $K_{1, p}$, lower bound is attained and For Path graph, upper bound is attained.

Theorem 3.3: If every non end vertex of a tree T is adjacent to at least one end vertex then $\gamma_{r t c}(L(T)) \leq p+m$ where m is the number of end vertices of $L(T)$

Consider the tree of vertex greater than or equal to 4 . Let $\mathrm{X}=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ be the end vertices of T and if the non end vertex have exactly one end vertex then there should be an end vertex in $L(T)$ also, Every $k_{1, n}$ of T form a complete sub graph $k_{n}$ of $L(T)$

Case(i) : $L(T)$ does not contain end vertex.

Let $\mathrm{D}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots . ., u_{i}\right\}, \mathrm{D} \geq \mathrm{V}(L(T))$ is the $\gamma_{r t c}$ set of $L(T)$

Hence $\gamma_{r t c}(L(T))=\mathrm{D}$
Case(ii): $L(T)$ contains end vertex, $\mathrm{X}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$
Hence $\gamma_{r t c}(L(T))=\mathrm{D} \cup\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots . . ., v_{m}\right\}=\mathrm{D} \cup X$
$\left|\gamma_{r t c}(L(T))\right|=|D+m| \leq p+m$
Hence $\gamma_{r t c}(L(T)) \leq p+m$, where $m$ be the end vertices of $L(T)$

Theorem 3.4: If every non end vertex of a tree T is adjacent to at least one end vertex then $\gamma_{r t c}(L(T))=\lfloor p+2\rfloor$.

Proof: If every non end vertices of T is adjacent to at least one end vertex, then T is the combination of stars such as $K_{1,3}, K_{1,4} \ldots \ldots . K_{1, n}$, thus $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{T})$ is the combination of complete graph. Hence $L(T)$ does not contain end vertices.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{r t c}(L(T)) \leq q-2 \\
& \gamma_{r t c}(L(T)) \leq p-1-2 \\
& \gamma_{r t c}(L(T)) \leq p-3
\end{aligned}
$$

If some non end vertices of T is adjacent to at least one end vertex, then T is the combination of paths and stars. Obviously $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{T})$ contains end vertices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{r t c}(L(T)) \leq p+m \\
& \gamma_{r t c}(L(T)) \leq p+2
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$\gamma_{r t c}(L(T))=\lfloor p+2\rfloor$.
Theorem 3.5: For any connected graph G, $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=\left\lceil\frac{p}{2}\right\rceil\right.$ and the equality holds for caterpillar is which except the end vertices, the remaining vertices of degree $\geq 3$.

Let $\mathrm{D}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{\left.2, \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . u_{m}\right\} \subseteq} \mathrm{V}(L(G)\right.$ be the RTCD set of $(L(G)$. When $\mathrm{V}(L(G)-\mathrm{D}=0$ then the result is obvious.

That is, $\mathrm{V}(L(G)-\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{p} \geq p / 2$
Suppose $\mathrm{V}(L(G)-\mathrm{D} \geq 2$ then $\mathrm{V}(L(G))-$ $D$ Contains at least two vertices satisfies v-s is adjacent to S and V-S

There for $2 n<p$ gives $n<p / 2$
Thus $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=n \leq\left\lceil\frac{p}{2}\right\rceil\right.$, Hence $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=\left\lceil\frac{p}{2}\right\rceil\right.$
Theorem 3.7: For a connected Cubic graph G, $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G)=3$ and the equality holds for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2} \cdot \gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=\left\lceil\frac{q}{3}\right\rceil\right.$ for $V(G) \geq 8$


Consider $V(G)=6$
Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$ and
$\mathrm{D}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3},\right\}$
V-D $=\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$
Case(i): $\langle S\rangle=P_{3},\langle V-S\rangle=P_{3}$
$V_{1}$ is adjacent to $V_{5}$ and $V_{4}$ (or $V_{6}$ ) of $V_{1}$ is adjacent to $V_{5}$ and $V_{6}$ then $V_{3}$ is adjacent to $V_{4}$ and $V_{6}$ this $V_{2}$ is adjacent to $V_{4}$ then $G \cong G_{1}, V_{2}$ is adjacent to $V_{5}$ then $G \cong G_{2}$


Case(ii): $\langle S\rangle=K_{3},\langle V-S\rangle=K_{3}$ if $V_{1}$ is adjacent to $V_{5}$ (or $V_{6}$ or $V_{4}$ ) then $V_{2}$ is adjacent to $V_{4}$ and $V_{3}$ is adjacent to $V_{6}$. If $V_{1}$ is adjacent to $V_{6}$ then $V_{2}$ is adjacent to $V_{5}$ and $V_{3}$ is adjacent to $V_{4}$ the $G \cong G_{1}$

Consider $V(G)=8$
Line Graph of Cubic graphs are the regular graph of order 4 and each vertex dominates 3 vertices of $(L(G)$ and $3 m<$ $q$ then $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=m \leq\left\lceil\frac{q}{3}\right\rceil\right.$ by taking continuous dominating vertices then $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G) \geq\left\lceil\frac{q}{3}\right\rceil\right.$

Observation: For any connected graph $G, \mathrm{~V}(L(G))-D \leq$ $\sum d\left(v_{i}\right)$

Theorem 3.8: All the end vertices of a connected graph $L(G)$ is very $\gamma_{r t c}$ set. Further more $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=\left\lceil\frac{q+1}{3}\right\rceil\right.$.

Proof: Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots v_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set of L (G) and $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots v_{i}\right\}$ are the end vertices of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$. Let D be any RTCD set of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$. If there exists $D_{1} \leq V(L(G))-D$ be also a RTCD set of L (G). Assume $v_{1} \in V(L(G))$ and $v_{1} \notin D_{1}$. Consider $u, w$ be the vertices of V ( $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ ) and $u, w \notin D_{1}$ and $v_{1} \notin D_{1}$. Thus $v_{1}$ lies on every path of u-w path in $L$ (G). Since the graph is triple connected then there is two possible cases. If $u, w \in D_{1}$ then
$D=\left\{D_{1} \cup\{u, w\} \cup v_{1}\right\}$ be the $\gamma_{r t c}$ set of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$.

$$
=V(L(G))-D+3
$$

$$
2 D=q+3
$$

$$
D=\frac{q+3}{2} .
$$

$|D|=\left|D_{1}\right|=3$ gives $D_{1}$ is also be $\gamma_{r t c}$ set of $L(\mathrm{G})$.

If $u, w \notin D_{1}$ then $D=\left\{D_{1}-\{u, w\} \cup v_{1}\right\}$
$=V(L(G))-D+3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 D=q+1 \\
& D=\frac{q+1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$|D|=\left|D_{1}\right|=3$ Gives $D_{1}$ is also be $\gamma_{r t c}$ set of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$.
Hence $v_{1} \in D_{1}$, thus the end vertex $v_{1}$ is in every $\gamma_{r t c}$ set of L(G).

$$
|D|=\frac{q+3}{2},|D|=\frac{q+1}{2}
$$

Hence $D=\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=\left\lceil\frac{q+1}{3}\right\rceil\right.$
Theorem 3.9: For any connected graph $G, \gamma_{r t c}(G)+$ $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G) \leq p+2$.

Proof: Let $D=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots v_{n}\right\}$ be the dominating set of G. If $G$ contains end vertices, then $\gamma_{r t c}(G)=p$. If $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots \ldots e_{k}\right\}$ be the edge set of $G$, let $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots \ldots u_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$. if $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ has end vertices then $\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots \ldots u_{n}\right\}=q\right.$ be the RTCD set of L(G). if $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ does not contain end vertices then $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G)=$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots \ldots u_{n-2}\right\}$ be RTCD set of L(G). By line graphs, each vertex in $L(G)$ is adjacent edges of $G$. hence every vertex of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ consistent to two adjacent vertices of $G$. thus $\gamma_{r t c}(G)+$ $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G) \leq p+2$.

Theorem 3.10: For any connected graph $G, \gamma((L(G))+$ $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G) \leq q$.

Proof: Let $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots \ldots u_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set of L (G), $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right) \geq 2$. ). Let $D_{1}$ be the RTCD set of L (G), if L (G) has end vertices then $D_{2}=\gamma_{r t c}(L(G))=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots \ldots u_{n}\right\}=q$ be the RTCD set of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$. Since $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G}))=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{q}$, $\gamma\left((L(G))+\gamma_{r t c}\left(L(G)=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \leq q\right.\right.$.

Theorem 3.11: For any connected graph G,

1. $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G))+\gamma_{r t c}(\overline{L(G)} \leq\lceil q+1\rceil$
2. $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G)) \cdot \gamma_{r t c}\left(\overline{L(G)} \leq\left\lceil\frac{q^{2}}{3}\right\rceil\right.$ for any connected graph G
3. $\gamma_{r t c}(L(G)) \cdot \gamma_{r t c}\left(\overline{L(G)} \leq\left\lceil\frac{q^{2}}{2}\right\rceil\right.$, if $G \cong P_{n}, G \nsubseteq$ $P_{n}, n=2,3,4,5$
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